

Thank you for your letter dated 25 October informing me of the request for a review of the officer's decision with respect to the above planning application.

I would like to make the following points.

- With reference to the stated reasons for seeking the review "*As their [sic] are many examples of flat roof extensions to the rear elevations of this lane, we feel that ours should be given support also*" there are no garages or other buildings on the lane with flat roof (or other) extensions.
- With reference to the site description in the planning officers report, the 'mews' cottage mentioned is on the heel of the lane, with the garage abutting the west gable of the cottage. No permission was given by the owner of the cottage for the fixing of the east gable of the garage to the west gable of the cottage.
- With reference to the existing plans of the garage submitted to the Council, there are roof lights in both the south (lane side) and north elevations of the garage roof that are not shown on these plans (contrary to the original planning application).
- Again, with reference to the plans, the south elevation of the roof is shown as rectangular. It should be noted that the west gable on the south side of the garage is longer than the east gable (on the same side) by about one metre thus skewing the roof out of rectangular shape and resulting in a concave aspect, rather than a flat one.

Regards

Nick Marshall
23 Hamilton Place